| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/029,153 | SAKAWAKI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Holly Rickman | 1773 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> . | (3) | • |
| (2) <u>Sheldon Landsman</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 23 February 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-29,31 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| Holly Clath | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The exr. indicated that the terms "radial" and "circumferential" used throughout the claims did not have clear antecedent basis since the preamble of each claim is directed to a magnetic recording "medium" and not a disk. The exr. suggested the amendment of the claims to require a magnetic recording "disk" which would provide antecedent basis for the claims "radial" and "circumferential" directions. Mr. Landsman agreed to the amendments to the claims.